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I. INTRODUCTION - DECORATION DAY COMPARED

AND CONTRASTED WITH INDEPENDENCE DAY.
$\mathrm{Zl}_{2}$ Clisis..ce.c

What the Fourth day of July has been for a century to the American people, a yearly commemoration of the Declaration of the Independence of the United States, which declaration was made of value and secured by a seven years war, such in substance is the 30 th day of May-an anniversary commemorating the new birth of our Republic. It calls up the memories of those of our comrades who gave the sacrifice of their lives in order to consummate this grand result. It does not dispense with the old national holiday-but it precedes it, as, in the order of the church ritual - the solemn reminders of the cross and grave precede those of the crowning joy and glory of the Resurrection. We to-day remember the costly sacrifice - the lives laid on our Country's altar, and strew flowers upon the graves where their ashes repose one month before we wake the jubilee of a nation's joy and glory over the liberty form '76 Aid subsequentty twice confirmed.
II. OBJECTIONS ANSWERED-A STAY-AT-HOME CON-FEDERATE-THE FDELING OF SOLDIERS ON BOTH SIDES.

A few days ago in a neighboring city, the next day after a lecture had been delivered on the battle of Gettysburg, an officer of the Army heard a conversation between an American gentlenan and several Germans concerning the Fecture. The American remarked "that he was a Southern man; that it was time all this talk about the war was hushed; the war was over, the troubles settled and it only renewed bitter feelings to recall them." The Germans opposed him and argued in favor of keeping fresh the record. The listening officer after a time inquired: "Sir, were you in the confederate army?" No, sir, I have been twenty-five years on the Coast.

The class of men of whom this American is a representative can hardly be satisfied. Vhstony wen witl necessarily annoy them. But this is not the case with those who were opposed to us in the field. I have met many a confederate officer since the war, and never without a pleasant conversation recalling the battles in which we were both engaged. Our own soldiers do not mean that their valiant deeds nor those of their comrades shall be forgotten, and every brave opponent I have met seems equally unwilling to blot out his record. I noticed a remark made by one of our prominent Generals in Richmond, Va ., in reply to a speech of a late confederate officer, Bradley T. Johnson, which may at this juncture be only anticipatory, yet the sentiment is growing into favor. He says: "The war through which we passed developed and proved on both sides the noble qualities of American manhood. It has left to us soldiers-once foes, now friends-a memory of hard fought fields, of fearful sacrifices, of heroiowalor; ind taught a lesson to be transmitted to our children, that divided we were terrible,
united we are forever invincible." This speech which was made to southern soldiers with demonstrations of applause, does not imply the repression of history, but on the contrary a memory, sacrifices, valor and lessons to be transmitted. + By zhe

It is the very thing, Gentlemen, you propose by a memorial day, a commemoration of sacrifices, of valor and the constant teaching to your children those lessons whose primary record was written in toil and suffering, and in blood.

## III. PUBLIC RESOLUTIONS AGAINST MEMORIALS.

Our late senator Charles Sumeer, doubtless entertaining a strong desire to exhibit to the conquered a magnanimity that in the past he had not been believed by them to entertain, introduced in the Senate a series of resolutions looking to the doing away with those public memorials and insignia that would perpetually remind our people, north and south, of the great civil strife then brought to a close. His popularity suffered exceedingly from this cause, and you will remember how hard it became for the Massachusetts legislature to repeal its act of censure, though they were life-long friends who were required to record their votes against him. And for one I am glad, indeed, not that Mr. Suminer had to endure the pain of censure-not that it was hard for his friends to obtain forgiveness for him; but I am glad that the loyal people of the land are not willing to mar our inheritance by dimming, ignoring or forgetting the brilliant record of her sons.

## IV dí. objections to war itself.

But back of all this, back of the expediency in a national and social point of view of memorial occasions and emblems of our great struggle lies a real objection touching the conscience of a large body of reasoning and
thinking people. It is stated positively in this way war is wrong, wrong in itself; therefore the support given to it by praises and prans to its heroes, by public reminders of its glories and its victories, and by end ring monuments in its honor, being calculated to foster a wrong spirit in the minds of the people and especially the young, is deceptive and injurious, and has a tendency to block the wheels of genuine progress. Let us not shrink from looking this objection squarely in the face for it is particularly unwise, even in self-justification, to attempt to uphold a wrong principle, for sooner or later the right will prevail.

In order to have before us the objection against war strongly stated, listen to an English writer against war, Jonathan Dymond. He says: "No one pretends to applaud the morals of an army, and for its religion few think of it at all. A soldier is depraved even to a proverb. The fact is too notorious to be insisted upon that thousands who had filled their stations in life with propriety, and been virtuous from principle, have lost by a military life both the practice and the regard of morality; and when they have become habituated to the vices of war, have laughed at their honest and plodding brethren who are still spiritless enough for virtue or stupid enough for piety." The celebrated John Knox condenses his censures as follows: "It happens, unfortunately, that profligacy, libertinism and infidelity are thought by weaker minds almost as necessary a part of a soldier's uniform as his shoulder knot. To hesitate at an oath, to decline intoxication, to profess a regard for religion, would be almost as ignominious as to refuse a challenge."

Before attempting to make answer, let us refer to one (Charles Sumner) who never puts a statement obscurely. Thirty years ago, in Boston, on the 4th of July, which he denominated the Sabbath of the nation, Charles Sumner made his speech of speeches on "The true Grandeur of

Nations." Once in conversation I heard him say, "men seldom make but one exhaustive speech-the others become more or less a modification of it-that on the Grandeur of Nations was $m y$ speech." And, indeed, Clay has none more ornate and beautiful, and Webster does not excel this in strength or grandeur of style; and were it not possible to appeal from Sumner during a time of profound peace to Sumeer adding sinews to a gigantic war, I would not venture to call attention to his postulates in this connection. He asks in the outset: "Can there be in our age any peace that is not honorable, any war that is not dishonorable?" Then goes on to say: "The true honor of a nation is conspicuous only in deeds of justice and beneficence, securing and advancing human happiness.) $/$ In the clear eye of that Christian judgment which must yet prevail, vain are the victories of war, infamous its spoils. He is the benefactor and worthy of honor who carries comfort to wretchedness, dries the tear of sorrow, relieves the unfortunate, feeds the hungry, clothes the naked, does justice, enlightens the ignorant, unfastens the fetters of the slave, and finally, by virtuous genius, in art, literature, science, enlivens and exaits the hours of life, or by generous example inspires a love for God and man. This is the Christian hero; this is the man of honor in a Christian land. He is no benefactor, nor worthy of honor, whatever his worldly renown, whose life is absorbed in feats of brute force, who renounces the great law of Christian brotherhood, whose vocation is blood. Well may old Sir Thomas Browne exclaim: 'The world does not know its greatest men!' for thus far it has chiefly honored the violent brood of battle, armed men springing up from the Dragon's teeth sown by Hate; and cared little for the truly good men, children of love, guiltless of their country's blood, whose steps on earth are noiseless as the angel's wing."

His picture of society may be suggested by this: "The
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mother rocking the infant on her knee stamps the images of war upon his tender mind, at that age more impressible than wax; she nurses his slumbers with its music, pleases its waking hours with its stories, and selects for his playthings the plume and the sword, * * * * and when the youth becomes a man, his country invites his services and holds before his bewildered imagination the prizes of worldly honor." Permit me one more picture from this speech: "Peaceful citizens volunteer to appear as soldiers, and affect in dress, arms and deportment what is called the 'pride, pomp and circumstance of glorious war.'"

Now the principle underlying Sumner's graphic descriptions, the real basis of his argument against the Genius of War, we find stated by him succinctly as follows: "If it is wrong and inglorious when individuals consent and agree to determine their petty controversies by combat, it must be equally wrong and inglorious when nations consent and agree to determine their vaster controversies by combat."
V. ANSWER-WAR DEFINED-SOMETIMES RIGHT.

Now for an answer-that the soldier may not blush to wear his uniform, nor hide his battle flag, nor strive to forget the names of the engagements in which he participated; that his halting gait or scarred body may not be regarded as a pitiable deformity, or his children be taught to reckon him in the ranks of robbers, murderers and assassins.

It is not necessary to assert in opposition to the advocates of peace at any price, that war is right. War is a contest between nations or States, or between parts of the same State and the State, waged for various purposes. I contend that it is sometimes right and sometimes wrong; that one party to the controversy may be in the right and the other in the wrong, or that each
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party may be partly in the right and partly in the wrong. The commandment of God on which all the reasoning of extreme peace-men is based, is: "Thou shalt not kill." The other translation is: "Thou shalt do no murder." It was certainly never intended by the great Lawgiver that human life should never be taken, for in thousands of instances the same Giver of law sanctioned and directed the taking of human life. And I believe it is a mistake to assert that the principles of law were ever changed by our Lord. He expressly states that He came not to destroy the law or the prophets, but to fulfil. The everlasting principle was always the same as given by Moses when he says: "Thou shalt not avenge nor bear any grudge against the children of thy people but thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself." Our Lord's summary puts the whole in brief and comprehensive terms: "Love the Lord thy God with all thy heart and thy neighbor as thyself."

The taking of human life is not necessarily contrary to this principle-this eternal law. A man may take life in self-defence; he may strike the thief creeping into his house by night; the savage tomahawking his wife and children or attempting to burn his house. Society may institute a police force, be it army or navy, militia or uniformed police men; the principle is the same. The exercise of extreme force often becomes absolutely necessary. The men who execute the extreme sentence of the law and take human life almost never do it in hate-they are no murderers. It is the final and solemn act of selfpreservation on the part of human society.
VI. SENATOR SUMNER ANSWERS HIMSELF.

Notice how thoroughly Mr. Sumner reversed his wheels in his speech in the Senate of the United States, May 19th, 1862, and how clearly and forcibly he states my view of the right of war. He says: "Harsh and re-
pulsive as these rights unquestionably are, they are derived from the overruling, instinctive laws of self-defence, common to nations as to individuals. Every community having the form and character of sovereignty has a right to national life, and in defence of such life may put forth all its energies. Any other principle would leave it the wretched prey to wicked men abroad and at home."
vif. the mitigation of wab-rights.
This is all we ask; this is Charles Sumner's maturer thought, wrought out in the glory of that fearful struggle for national existence; and, indeed, my whole heart says to him a glad amen as he adds the following noble words half in reminiscence: "I rejoice to believe that civilization has already done much to mitigate the Rights of War; and is among long cherished visions, which present events cannot make me renounce, that the time is coming when all these rights will be further softened to the mood of permanent peace." Yes, indeed, more and more do the ministrations of love follow close upon the rights of war. The minister of religion enters the dungeon of the criminal condemned to die - the missionary precedes and often prevents the warlike savage from making his meditated forays and attacks-the Christian commissions fullow the armies to refresh and succor the sick and the wounded; and towards those plow-shares of permanent peace, civilized Christian men are seriously and actively projecting methods of settling controversies between themselves without the use of the sword.
VIII. THE HIGH JOINT-COMMISSION-GRANT.

The settlement of our difficulty with England without war is a wonderful fact; a stepping stone to a broader platform of international law; a genuine tribute to the moral courage, quiet firmness and clear-sighted wisdom of General Grant; and, I think, a fair demon-
stration that, successful soldier as he is, he nevertheless always writes peace higher than war. He prefers right-doing to punishment and mercy to sacrifice.

## ix. sherman and the citizens of atlanta.

You cannot forget the words of Sherman in '64 to the citizens of Atlanta: "But, my dear sirs, when that peace does come you may call upon me for anything. Then will I share with you the last cracker, and watch with you to shield your home and families against danger from any quarter * * * once admit the union, once more acknowledge the authority of the general government and instead of devoting your houses and streets and roads to the dread uses of war, I and this army become at once your protectors and supporters, shielding you from danger, let it come from what quarter it may."

The genuine subordination of Grant and Sherman to the authority over them was shared by all the million of men, with few exceptions, who bore arms against the rebellion, and that little pregnant phrase of our leader "Let us have peace" penetrated every true soldier's breast with responsive joy.

## x. the american soldier.

Neither Johin Knox of Scotland, Jonathan Dymond of England, nor the celebrated extremists of our own land, have given us fair portraiture of our American soldiery. A few unvarnished recitals of historic incidents will be better than argument. Here is one from Lexington, one hundred years ago: "Captain Robin's son, a boy of ten years, heard the summons in the garret, where he lay, and in a few minutes was on his father's old mare, a young Paul Revere, galloping along the road to rouse Captain Isaic Davis who commanded the minute men of Acton. He was a young man of thirty, a gunsmith by trade, brave and thoughtful and tenderly fond of his wife
and four children. The company assembled at his shop, formed and marched a little way, when he halted them and returned a moment to his house. He said to his wife: 'Take good care of the children,' kissed her and turned to his men, gave the order to march, and saw his home no more. Such was the history of that night, in how many homes? The hearts of those men and women of Middlesex might break, but they could not waver. They had counted the cost. They knew what and whom they served; and as the midnight summons came they started up and answered: 'Here am I!'" Here is a type of the men that broke the British yoke-young, a tradesman, a workingman-brave, thoughtful and tenderly ${ }_{F}$ loving his family.

SHI COLONEL JOHN T. GREBLE.
How carefully the orators select the names of those who first met the enemy and gave up their lives in the procurement of our liberties; the first resistance to aggression; the first death became the first fruits for honor in the harvest of history. As in the Revolution so it is or will be in the sifted story of the great Rebellion. The first regular officer slain in this war was my intimate friend, Lieutenant John T. Greble. He was breveted to the grade of Colonel for his "conspicuous gallantry, and meritorious conduct," on the feld of his death, in the battle of Big Bethel, in Virginia, the 10th day of June, 1861. President Lincoln said of him, "that of all those who had fallen or who had distinguished themselves in the present contest, it was his deliberate judgment that not one had acted so heroically nor deserved so well of his country as Lieutenant Greble." Another officer, Lieutenent Kingsbury, (afterwards himself killed in the war) wrote to the wife, Mrs. Greble, from near the battle ground. I select from his letter a picture that speaks volumes. "It will I know be among your pleasantest re-
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collections to be assured that scarcely an hour of the day passed that your husband did not make some remark to me which betokened his love for his wife and his babies. The letters he received from you were read and re-read; and from them he read to me with a father's delight the prattle of his little son. The morning before I left I entered his tent and found him reading his bible. Then again he expressed his desire to see you and his children." Speaking of his premonitions of death on that field, another said of him: "Before he entered the battlefield, he traced in pencil on paper, words of love for his cherished wife, of care for his now orphaned children, of affection for his parents and friends, and of trust in Almighty God. This gives value to his manly daring, showing that it was no blood-thirsty impulse or reckless presumption; but a perilous service at the call of duty and his country's need. This view sanctifies his martyrdom. It carries him to the field of battle with no loss of his gentleness, amiability and benevolence; but wrought to a high enthusiasm, and a calm and tranquil courage, by a real love of country and of mankind."

Beautiful in daily life, beautiful in character, beautiful in tender love for a precious family, beautiful in the symmetry and completeness of a brief career; and beautiful in death when the little son Edwin smiled his recognition upon thy marble and coffined face! Yea, more beautiful in thy living, glorified spirit beyond the dark gateway of battle, thou art in life and death a standing protest against the calumny that would stain the officer's escutcheon or belittle his possibilities into a low, corrupt and wicked model!

## XII. LIEUT. H. W. CAMP-TENTH CONNEOTICUT.

Perhaps as peerless a man as could be found in all the army was the Adjutant of the Tenth Connecticut volunteers, Lieutenant H. W. Camp. To show how er-
roneous is the idea of the necessity of fierceness and hate exhibited by those officers and soldiers most deeply interested in the issue of the struggle for the nation's life, permit me to give you a description of a scene just before his death at the battle of Fort Fisher: "Camp's face lighted up inspiringly, all aglow with excitement, expressive of its story of tenderest affection, of true courage and firmest faith. It was never more fair, or bright, or beautiful, than in that hour and place of death, as the peerless Christian soldier said with warmth and earnestness (concerning his abiding faith in the Lord.) 'No, no, dear fellow, I do not doubt. I do trust Jesus fully, wholly.'"

XIH. THE SOLDIERS-LIABLE TO DEMORALIZATIONCORRECTIVE INFLUENCES.
The soldiery, of course, partook of ali the varie], kaleidescopic character of our population, and whether or not as a general rule they became worse, morally, in consequence of their army experience, is not easy to determine. Men who get the mining fever, and congregate in large numbers, separate from home, wives, children and refining society, are apt to present no very comforting phases of human nature. The hunters, the fishermen, the seamen, the lumbermen, and all men drawn together away from the society and influence of ladies become comparatively rough in manners, habits and language. Similar effects do we find in the army, but there is in the service a counteracting discipline. Wrong-doing is discouraged and punished, while good conduct is constantly encouraged-good deeds commended and conspicuous acts rewarded. From personal knowledge I can testify that many men become better.

## XIV. PRIVATE M'DONALD.

I remember McDonald of the Fourth R. I. Always faithful to his soldier duties. He abandoned drinking
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and profanity in the army. I cannot forget his last words to me: "How glad I am, General, that $I$ am wounded and not you!"

## XV. HARRY STINSON,

1 recall Harry Stinson, of the 5th Maine, whosmerizienc
 $z_{y}$ was atterwards promoted and till his deat in, some yeary after; exhibited all the graces of a pure, constant and self-denying life.

## XVI. A SOLDIER OF THE SIXTH CORPS

A visitor to an army hospital speaks of a soldier of the Sixth corps who lost his right arm close to the shoulder. "Day by day I found him cheery and uncomplaining. At first he was overflowing with fun all the time, but at last the terrible heat and strain upon his system so much reduced his strength that there was only a merry twinkle in his eye (when I came in) and a word of cordial greeting * * * * when he dropped his musket and reached round to take his useless arm tenderly in his left hand and walk off the fleld under a shower of balls, it was his first time off duty, after thirteen battles, since he entered the service. * * * * Low spirits or complaint seemed impossible to him.

## XVII. SERGEANT AT MISSIONARY RIDGE.

Hon. E. P. Smith, commissioner of Indian affairs, is responsible for the following. I wish he had given the soldier's name. Take this as a type of our soldiers' loyalty to the country's flag and of unselfish devotion to the very end: "During the charge up the Ridge (Missionary) four soldiers were seen bearing back a comrade on a blanket. When they laid down their burden I knelt down by him and said: 'Sergeant, where did they hit you?' 'Most up the Ridge, sir.' 'I mean, Sergeant.
where did the ball strike you?' 'Within twenty yards of the top-almost up!' 'No, no, Sergeant, think of yourself for a moment, tell me where you are wounded?' And throwing back the blanket, I found his upper arm and shoulder mashed and mangled with a shell. Turning his eye to look for the first time upon his wound, the Sergeant said: 'That is what did it. I was hugging the standard' to my blouse and making for the top. I was almost up when that ugly shell knocked me over. If they had let me alone a little longer-two minutes longer-I should have planted the colors on the top.' 'Almost up,almost up,' * * * * his own regiment, rallying under the colors that had dropped from his shattered arm, was shouting the victory for which the poor sergeant had given his young life, but of which he was dying without the sight."

> XVIII. CONOLUDING SUMMARY.

I could multiply instances, but these few are sufficient to suggest that in this country we may find morality and religion in the army; that the soldier is not depraved to a proverb; that virtue and piety are not so rare as to be simply subjects of ridicule; that profligacy, libertinism and infidelity are not by any means a necessary part of a soldier's uniform; that profanity, drunkenness, infidelity and duelling are not the special characteristics of our army. These crimes, it is owned with shame and sorrow, prevail in our land and more or less afflict and curse the best regulated company; but the army is not conspicuous in these or any other vileness. The Grand Army, aname can easily furnish the record-proof of soldiers, who like Thomas, CanBy, Fisk, Mitchell, $\lambda^{\text {and }}$ a host of others, have been conspicuous in deeds of justice and benificence, securing and advancing human happiness;" of soldiers, who like Greble, Camp and Stinson, have carried "comfort to wretchedness, and dried the tear of sorrow ;" of soldiers,

who like ne -Uson, Davelow "relieved the unfortunate, fed the hungry, clothed the naked, dealt justly, and enlightened the ignorant;"' of soldiers who like Grant, Sherman, Butler and a multitude of others, "unfastened the fetters of the slave and let the captive go free." Your soldiers are not at all times enrolled. they come from the farm and the store; from the shop and the factory; from the country and the city; from the learned professions and the common schools; from all classes and conditions of society, and from all parts of the world to swell the ranks of our all embracing army of freedom. We will make no more aggressive war to extend domain-to strengthen slavery or perpetuate its spirit and power; but let monarchists, monopolists, and oligarehists understand that we are no craven people: that the law of self-preservation-the grand instinctive law of self-defence-is written deeply in the hearts of millions of men, women and children, between the shores of the Atlantic and the Pacific. We will not barter our liberties of trade, of schools, nor of religion, for any delusive dream of a redistribution of wealth; for any plan of a security against false teaching in the schools, or any governmental procurement of a universal external unity of Faith. No, no, give generous welcome to the highest, and broadest and deepest reaches of human thought and contrivance; and as far as is possible cripple, and narrow and enslave men, women and children no more.

Our brave soldier-dead whom you so properly honor to-day have sealed these principles with their blood beyond recall. The maimẽ man cries out often in the bitterness of poverty, the government has forgotten my service! Remember the government is not the men in office now or at any time. The government is by the people. Let cheer fill his heart for the people are around and near to befriend him, and they or some of them will remember his sacrifice. Imbecility and corruption and
injustice must yield. For the land hās beeent redeemed from thraldom by the shediding of precious blood, and the people, trusting in God, have accepted the sacrifice.

The Quaker heart of Whirtier condenses the historic and prophetic truth:
"I dreamed of freedom slowly gained By martyr meekness, patience, faith, And lo! an athlete grimly stained, With corded museles battle strained Shouting it from fields of death!
I turn me, awe-struck from the sight Among the clammoring thousands mute, I only know that God is right And that the children of the light Shall tread the darkness under foot. I know the pent up fire heaves its crust, That sultry skies the bolt will form To smite them clear; that nature must The balance of her powers adjust, Though with the earthquake and the storm.
God reigns, and let the earth rejoice! $I$ bow before His sterner plan.
Dumb are the organs of my choice
He speaks in Battle's stormy voice,
His praise is in the wrath of man!
Yet surely as He lives, the day Of peace He promised shall be ours, To fold the flags of war, and lay Its sword and spear to rust away, And sow its ghastly fields with flowers!"

