my dear Governor Howard

we anticipate seeing and
hearing you tomorrow March
26th before the Phelps Club.
The restaurant opens its doors
you and a cordial welcome
awaits you, or if you prefer a
form shall be secured at
"The Columbia." Finally release me
in this matter. The churches trains
are liable to be late at one
due in Pueblo by 6:10 P.M.
is sometimes thru' home tea
Do please plan accordingly.
Have you a small cut that
you can forward at once at
our expense for use on the
"Souvenir programme."
I believe your subject is
"Themes of Chichimuca" which
we have advertised accordingly
for your benefit.

Sincerely yours,

Lawrence Phillips

London, England
July 26, 1902

P.S. Our Treasurer will pay
you $50 as per agreement.
Dear General Hammond:

Will you be in this city about Oct. 9th or soon after? I would like you to take part in our centennial anniversary exercises which we plan to hold in Carnegie Hall.

I am sure you will be interested in the work which continues there which you began when commanding officers at Governors Island.

Our principal speaker will be Rev. Dr. John Williams, former Governor of the state of Oregon. Since you left Governors Island, no meetings were held in Castle Williams and practically nothing done in the garrison until we came in.

Last month, we met with an average attendance of 70.

Sincerely yours,

George A. Sanford

July 26th
February 26, 1902.

General O. O. Howard,
Burlington, Vt.

Dear General Howard,-

Your letter of the 24th inst. from Farmington, Conn. has been received and I have sent a Bible, according to your request, to Fred C. Chamberlin, Wallaston, Mass. and enclose herewith bill for the same. Please bear in mind that this figure is not to you, and not to be quoted to any other person.

Very cordially yours,

[Signature]
The Young Men's Christian Association
OF LOCKPORT, N. Y.

LOCKPORT, N. Y., FEB. 26, 1902

My dear sir:

Not having heard from you as yet, I take this liberty of again writing you in regard to our mass meeting next Sunday evening, that I might give you an idea of our program. Our music will be led by the First Presbyterian Church orchestra. Several selections by a mixed quartet and a song or two by the auditorium. This will be the opening that we shall have your address of about forty minutes. This will be followed by one of our local pastors with about a ten minutes talk, then by a local business man who will also give about a ten minutes talk and wind up with an appeal.
for pledge, now that I might tell you in just what I say I might tell you of our needs. First that the public may realize the great importance of this work for the building up of character of our boys and young men, seeing that they are so surrounded by temptations and evil influences at just the time when they are the most susceptible to such influences. First by giving them a sound body with our baths and gymnastics, a sound mind by our libraries, reading rooms and educational classes and a spirit by our Bible classes and religious work which will help them to grow up to a manhood with which they can honor their God and the city in which they live. And second, what it means to our civic life to have such men as its representatives. If you have not already answered our telegram will you do it at once at our expense.
The Young Men's Christian Association

Building Canvas.

Need a new building.

Want $35,000.00

Have $?

Must have a building.

Lockport, N. Y.

As to whether you can be here Sat. evening, if so that we could have an informal reception at the Hotel for you. Let us know what time you expect to arrive.

Sincerely Yours,

W. A. Horn.
Chicago, Feb. 26, 1902.

General O. C. Howard,

Burlington, Vt.

Dear brother:—

It seems a long time since I heard from you, though Chances, in a recent letter, mentioned seeing you, and a day or two ago I received the L. M. U. Herald, evidently from you. I wonder if you have been reading the war-articles as they came out month by month. On the first of February we finished the Battle of Gettysburg. Your account of the following up of Lee afterwards is so very interesting I have concluded to publish that also, though our program, as first marked out, was not intended to include some of the minor articles.

I write you particularly today with reference to the Council of War, held, as you give the account, to consider whether we should give battle again while the enemy was still on the east side of the Potomac. In your article you have not given so full an account of this Council, as I should think might well be brought out at this period. You only mention Wadsworth as one of those who voted in favor of an attack, he then being in command of the First Corp. I want to ask some questions and possibly may correct some statements I have made in an editorial paragraph relating to the Council. You said that there were three who voted in favor of the attack, and six corp commanders against it. I always understood that you and Pleasonton voted for it, but I thought there were others; at least one other. I was present outside of the tent, that is, it is my impression that the Council was held in a tent, and not in a house. Am I correct? You speak as if it were in the vicinity of Funkstown. Is this the exact locality?
My impression is quite strong that you said to me afterwards that Mead was in favor of renewing the battle; but that he said that the vote of such men as Sedgwick, and, I think, one or two other veteran officers had great weight with him, and decided him against fighting. Now, I can only make out six other corps commanders by counting French, who, if I remember correctly, had come into the army just after the battle of Fredericksburg in command of a contingent of volunteers, which had been gathered up for reinforcement. My memory makes the number about 3000. I do not call to mind that it had been organized as a corps d'armée. Counting French, the other five, of course, we all remember as follows: Sedgwick, Sykes, Humphreys (I suppose he had now come into command of the Second Corp after the wounding of Hancock). Am I correct? Birney (Am I right that he succeeded Sickles) and Slocum. Did French vote not to attack? I have a lingering impression that as his troops had not been in the battle, he favored fighting. Still, this needs confirmation. The Third Corp was very badly used up, and I presume that Birney voted with the majority. I remember Sedgwick's vote, but how about Slocum's? The one I have most doubt about is French. If he voted with the three mentioned in favor of an attack, that would leave five to four, and Mead's vote would simply make it even, and a tie. Of course, in a time so remote one can not trust unaided memory, and it is possible I have in some way confounded a Council of War at Chancellorsville; but my impression, is very vivid as to Sedgwick and to Mead saying that the vote of the old officers' influence, I observe that your modesty prevented you mentioning your own name, which was well enough ten or fifteen years ago when the rest were all living; but we owe it to history to bring out
all the facts. I will enclose proof of the paragraph I have written, and if it is not correct in every particular, please answer and I can. In putting the article into the weekly, have it conform to your corrections. You will observe that I sign editor so that you would have no responsibility personally for it, but still I desire to be absolutely accurate. There is one other little point: I observe that the type as in the old article mentioned a Captain Sharras, in two or three places. But in one place it is "Sharras". Which is correct?

Affectionately your brother,

P.S.

Are you all well at home? Please remember me very kindly to Harry, Bessie and sister Lizzie. Ask Harry if he can do anything to get us the advertising of Wells, Richardson & Co., as they often put out a new line of advertising at this season.
New York, February 27, 1902.

General O. O. Howard,

Burlington, Vt.

Dear Sir:

We have finally arranged to begin work upon Dr. Benjamin Howard's "Prisoners of Russia" and we are mailing you proofs of your preface. It has been found necessary to cut this down somewhat and we think it might be well if you would be willing to cut it down still more, particularly as regards the more personal side of the subject, inasmuch as this will be a book for general circulation. We shall be glad to have the proofs returned as soon as possible and with thanks for your assistance, we are,

Yours very truly,

D. APPLETON & COMPANY,
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY,

Baltimore, Md., U. S. A. Feb 27, '02.

General C. O. Howard,
Burlington, Vt.

My dear Sir,—

Following out the conversation had with your son I took up the matter of the volume on the Civil War with my publishers. In accordance with their instructions I am authorized to offer you for a volume of 175,000 to 180,000 words, manuscript of which is to be delivered in completed form on September 1st, 1904, an honorarium of $500.00. This sum to be paid as follows: $250. on acceptance of manuscript, $250. when 1000 sets of the work have been sold. The sale is guaranteed, and the payment will be made, whether or no 1000 copies have been sold, within one year from publication. I may state also that as the volume on the Civil War is No. 16. in the series, that the last mentioned sum will without doubt be paid upon publication. It will give us pleasure also to send you one complete set of the series, and half a dozen copies of your own book.

I trust that you can see your way clear to accepting the commission, and that I may have the pleasure of welcoming you to the rank of our contributors. Asking the favor of an early reply, I remain,

Sincerely

Guy Carleton Lee

Dictated to H. E. B.
A15 H F 15 paid 5 x
New York Feb. 28/02
Gen. O. O. Howard,
Burlington Vt.

Can you preside at Carnegie Hall March ninth wire reply.

Geo. A. Sanford,
156 Fifth Ave.
1:40 P. M.
POSTAL TELEGRAPH-CABLE COMPANY.

This Company transmits and delivers the within message subject to the following TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

To guard against mistakes or delays, the sender of a message should order it REPEATED; that is, telegraphed back to the originating office for comparison. For this, one half the regular rate is charged in addition. It is agreed between the sender of the message written on the face hereof and the Postal Telegraph-Cable Company, that said Company shall not be liable for mistakes or delays in the transmission or delivery, or for non-delivery, of any UNREPEATED message, beyond the amount received for sending the same; nor for mistakes or delays in the transmission or delivery, or for non-delivery, of any REPEATED message beyond fifty times the sum received for sending the same, unless specially insured, nor in any case for delays arising from unavoidable interruption in the working of its lines, or for errors in cipher or obscure mess 7es. And this Company is hereby made the agent for the sender, without liability, to forward any message over the lines of any other Company when necessary to reach its destination.

Correctness in the transmission of messages to any point on the lines of the Company can be insured by contract in writing, stating agreed amount of risk, and payment of premium thereon, at the following rates, in addition to the usual charge for repeated messages, viz: on per cent. for any distance not exceeding 1,000 miles, two per cent. for any greater distance.

No responsibility regarding messages attaches to this Company until the same are presented and accepted at one of its transmitting offices; and if a message is sent to such office by one of this Company's messengers, he is for that purpose as the agent of the sender.

Messages will be delivered free within the established free delivery limits of the terminal office. For delivery at a greater distance a special charge will be made to cover the cost of such delivery.

This Company will not be liable for damages or statutory penalties in any case where the claim is not presented in writing within sixty days after the message is filed with the company for transmission.

This is an UNREPEATED Message and is delivered by request of the sender under the conditions named above. Errors can be guarded against only by repeating a message back to the sending station for comparison.

No employee of this Company is authorized to vary the foregoing.

WILLIAM H. BAKER,  JOHN O. STEVENS,  ALBERT B. CHANDLER,
V. P. and Gen'l Manager.  Secretary.  President.
Chicago, Feb. 28, 1902.

General C. O. Howard,
Burlington, VT.

Dear brother:—

I overlooked returning to you the letter of brother Dell, which please find herein. It was very interesting to me, and I should like very much to see that identical letter, written by you in the winter of 1842. I should think that you would like to secure that, and perhaps have a facsimile made.

I have not heard much from you of late, but received a letter from cousin Alice a few days ago in answer to one I wrote to Chancy. She mentioned that you were very busy still. I never heard whether you had any success in celebrating Lincoln's birthday in Boston. I would like to know about it.

You speak very kindly of Kitty, but I think got a mistaken impression, as we all did at one time: that she was gaining rapidly. For fourteen weeks I received not a line from her, and scarcely a word. But about a week ago, or so, she went to a Sanatorium at Arlington Heights, Massachusetts, near where brother Rowland's home was, and she was better almost immediately after going there. So much so that she has now written three different letters in her own hand. The trouble, as I suppose you know, is, in its manifestations, more mental, though we believe it has a physical basis. The doctors, and Burt with them, agreed that it was better for her to leave her father's house, in fact, be separated entirely from all her friends. And we have tried this although it seemed almost cruel, but as it has
turned out, the doctors were right.

I wrote a few days ago with reference to a paragraph in the war articles which is just about to come out. I could not get the proof to enclose that day, but will put it in this letter.

I hope you will write me fully on that point.

Affectionately your brother,

[Signature]

P.S. A letter from Kitty today (Saturday) tells me you came in yesterday. It did her good. I hope so.
Gen. W. C. Howard,
Burlington, VT.

Dear Sir,—

I have received your favor of recent date with reference to the railroad fare.

There is no disposition on my part to deal closely with you but it was a good deal of a strain for us financially to have you come on the basis which you did and we do not feel as though we can pay more than we agreed.

I have written to Mr. Morse about the matter a second time and he has sent me the correspondence which he had with your son concerning the arrangements. In a letter of Jan. 7, 1903, he states that "because of so many calls and just such pressing invitations he has been forced to ask all Associations fifty dollars to cover his time and expenses. For addresses through the week he received one hundred dollars and expenses." In a letter of Jan. 11, 1903, he states that "Gen. Howard is willing to speak twice on Sunday for the fifty dollars as he would be glad to spend the day with you." In a letter of Jan. 17, 1903, he says, "Gen. Howard directs me to reply and say that your arrangements for him to speak at Nashua and Lowell for fifty dollars, the terms agreed upon, are satisfactory to him." In a letter of Jan. 21, 1903, he says, "Please hand the fifty dollars to Gen. Howard after his address."

It seems from these letters that the matter was clearly enough understood that there was to be no extra charges for expense.
The Lowell
Young Men's Christian Association.

LOWELL, MASS., Feb. 25, 1902.

Mr. Morse informs me that he entertained you at a hotel and there was no charge here for entertainment. It seems to me that we have done all and more than we agreed.

I regret very much that this misunderstanding has taken place.

Yours Truly,

[Signature]

M. C. Williams, Gen. Sec.